1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	MARY KAY PECK, an individual,
8	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:09–CV-00872
9	vs. ORDER
10	THE CITY OF HENDERSON, et al.,
11	Defendants.)
12	
13	Presently before the court is defendant City of Henderson's (hereinafter "defendant")
14	motion for a ruling or, in the alternative, to set a hearing (Doc. #37) regarding defendant's
15	motion for a judgment on the pleadings, filed on August 13, 2009. Plaintiff Mary Kay Peck
16	(hereinafter "plaintiff") filed a response (Doc. #39) on August 18, 2009 indicating she had no
17	objection to defendant's motion.
18	The motion defendant requests this court to set for hearing is defendant's motion for a
19	judgment on the pleadings (Doc. #18), filed on June 25, 2009. Plaintiff filed her response (Doc.
20	#26) to defendant's motion (Doc. #18) on July 23, 2009. Defendant replied (Doc. #34) on
21	August 13, 2009.
22	This court has set defendant's motion (Doc. #18) for a hearing on October 15, 2009 at
23	10:00 a.m. Accordingly,
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion for
25	a ruling or, in the alternative, to set a hearing (Doc. #37) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as
26	moot.
27	DATED this 5 th day of October, 2009.
28	Xellus C. Mahan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE